

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment, received 7/31/2009, has been entered into the record.
2. Claims 1-15 are presented for examination. Claims 1-15 are previously presented.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the plurality of optoelectronic semiconductor chips each having a plurality of structural elements with each structural element comprising a semiconductor layer sequence" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haerle (US Patent No. 6,100,104) in view of Tokunaga et al. (US Patent No. 5,659,184) hereafter referred to as Tokunaga.

6. As to claim 1, Haerle discloses a method for the production of a plurality of optoelectronic semiconductor chips (a plurality of light emitting diode chips 100 as shown in figs 5-6) each having a structural element with each structural element comprising a semiconductor layer sequence (fig 5, layers 21, 22, and 23; col. 7, lines 1-5; structural element is the layers 21-23), the method comprising the steps of:

providing a chip composite base (fig 1-3 substrate wafer 19) comprising a substrate (fig 1-5, growth substrate wafer 3) and a growth surface (fig 1, the main surface 9);

forming on the growth surface a mask material layer (fig 1, mask layer 4) with a multiplicity of windows (fig 3, mask openings 10), wherein a mask material is chosen in so that a semiconductor material of the semiconductor layer that is to be grown in a later method step essentially cannot grow on said mask material or can grow in a substantially worse manner in comparison with the growth surface (col. 6, lines 55-67);

essentially simultaneously growing semiconductor layers to form the structural elements on regions of the growth surface that lie within the windows (fig 4); and

singulating the chip composite base applied material to form semiconductor chips each having a plurality of the structural elements (col. 7, lines 33-36; figs 5-6, the structural element is the layers 21-23).

However, Haerle fails to disclose wherein the optoelectronic semiconductor chips each have a plurality of structural elements with each structural element comprising a semiconductor layer sequence; and

most of the windows have an average extent less than or equal to 1 micrometer.

Nonetheless, Tokunaga discloses wherein the optoelectronic semiconductor chips each have a plurality of structural elements with each structural element comprising a semiconductor layer sequence (col. 4, lines 45-67); and

most of the windows have an average extent less than or equal to 2 micrometers (col. 12, lines 25-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of making long lasting LEDs and laser diodes as is taught by Haerle to make an LED array head or a large-sized monolithic display

device or a one-dimensional LED array as is taught by Tokunaga since it is shown that instead of always cutting the LEDs into individual LED chips, as is taught by Haerle, it is possible to use an array of LEDs in a display device such as in a numerical display device (Tokunaga, col. 1, lines 44-49).

And, In *Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

7. As to claims 2-8 and 12-15, Haerle in view of Tokunaga discloses the method as claimed in claim 1 (paragraph above).

Haerle further discloses wherein the chip composite base (fig 1-3, substrate wafer 19) has at least one semiconductor layer grown epitaxially onto the substrate (col. 6, line 55 to col. 7, line 5) and the growth surface is a surface on that side of the epitaxially grown semiconductor layer (fig 4, the bottom side of 21 is in contact with the main surface 9) which is remote from the substrate (col. 6, lines 52-53)[claim 2].

wherein the chip composite base (fig 1-3, substrate wafer 19) has a semiconductor layer sequence grown epitaxially onto the substrate (col. 6, line 55 to col. 7, line 5) with an active zone that emits electromagnetic radiation (fig 4, light-emitting active layer 23), and the growth surface is a surface on that side of the semiconductor

layer sequence (fig 4, the bottom side of 21 is in contact with the main surface 9) which is remote from the substrate (col. 6, lines 52-53)[claim 3].

wherein the structural elements respectfully have an epitaxially grown semiconductor layer sequence (col. 6, line 55 to col. 7, line 5) with an active zone that emits electromagnetic radiation (fig 4, light-emitting active layer 23)[claim 4].

wherein the mask material has SiO₂ or Al₂O₃ (col. 6, lines 38-39)[claim 5].

wherein, after the growth of the semiconductor layers (fig 5 is performed after fig 4), a layer made of electrically conductive contact material that is transmissive (front-side contact metallization layer 15, fig 5; it is transmissive because it would either bounce the radiation when a non-transparent material is used or pass the radiation through when a transparent material is used) to an electromagnetic radiation emitted by the active zone (fig 4, light-emitting active layer 23) is applied to the semiconductor layers, so that semiconductor layers of a plurality of structural elements are electrically conductively connected to one another by the contact material (front-side contact metallization layer 15)[claims 6 and 15].

wherein the average thickness of the mask material layer (fig 9, mask layer 4) is less than the cumulated thickness of the semiconductor layers of a structural element (fig 9, semiconductor layer sequence 18)[claim 7].

wherein the mask material layer is at least partly removed after the growth of the semiconductor layers (col. 7, lines 13-20)[claim 8].

wherein the growth conditions for the growth of the semiconductor layers are at least one of set and varied during growth in such a way that semiconductor layers of the

structural elements form a lens-shaped form, a truncated cone-shaped form, or a polyhedral form (col. 7, lines 66-67)[claim 12].

wherein the semiconductor layers are grown by means of metal organic vapor phase epitaxy (col. 6, lines 55-67)[claim 13].

an optoelectronic semiconductor chip, characterized in that it is produced according to a method as claimed in claim 1 (col. 7, lines 33-36)[claim 14]

8. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haerle in view of Tokunaga, and further in view of Braun (US Patent No. 6,110,277).

9. As to claims 9-11, Haerle in view of Sugiyama discloses the method as claimed in claim 1 (paragraphs above).

Haerle in view of Tokunaga does not explicitly disclose

wherein, after the growth of the semiconductor layers, a planarization layer is applied over the growth surface [claim 9];

wherein a material whose refractive index is lower than that of the semiconductor layers is chosen for the planarization layer [claim 10]; or

wherein a dielectric material is chosen for the planarization layer [claim 11].

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the method disclosed by Haerle in view of Tokunaga, as evidenced by Braun.

Braun discloses wherein, after the growth of the semiconductor layers, a planarization layer is applied over the growth surface (fig 5, passivation layer 60)[claim 9] to protect the light-emitting diode;

wherein a material whose refractive index is lower than that of the semiconductor layers is chosen for the planarization layer (fig 5, the passivation layer 60 must have a refractive index that is lower than the semiconductor layers to allow the radiation to pass through, otherwise the radiation is blocked and the light-emitting diode could not shine)[claim 10]; and

wherein a dielectric material is chosen for the planarization layer (any material has dielectric properties, including the passivation layer 60)[claim 11].

Given the teaching of Braun, a person having ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Haerle in view of Tokunaga by employing the well known or conventional features of a lower refractive index planarization layer, such as disclosed by Braun, in order to make a light-emitting diode with good efficiency and optimized green, blue, and violet spectral region.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Prior Art Made of Record

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakahata et al. (US Pub No. 2007/0164306 A1) teaches in figure 5A small open surfaces 1s in the mask layer 2.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAUN CAMPBELL whose telephone number is (571)270-3830. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday 8:00AM-5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nguyen Ha can be reached on (571) 272-1678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,955
Art Unit: 2829

Page 10

/Shaun Campbell/
Examiner, Art Unit 2829
10/27/09

/Ha T. Nguyen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2829